

1 MORENO & RIVERA, LLP

2 1451 River Park Drive, Suite 145  
Sacramento, California 95815

3 Tel: 916-922-1200 Fax: 916 922-1301

4 Jesse M. Rivera, CSN 84259  
5 Jonathan B. Paul, CSN 215884  
Shanan L. Hewitt, CSN 200168

6 Attorneys for Defendants,  
7 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; DETECTIVE DARREN MAYO,  
in his official capacity as an employee of Sacramento County; DEPUTIES  
8 S. PUFFER, T. HENRY, J. HALK, T. FOX, K. CLARK, L. KENDRICK,  
C. GRIFFITH, T. MOHLER, C. ALBRIGHT, T. MCCUE, D. ANDERSON,  
9 L. CRISTOSTOMO, M. HAYNES, SERGEANT PARKER and K-9, in their  
official capacities as employees of the County of Sacramento; and SACRAMENTO  
10 COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **IN AND FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 ADRIENNE G. HENNING,	)	CASE NO. CIV S-05-0531 FCD KJM
14 Plaintiff,	)	<b>ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE</b>
15 vs.	)	<b>APPLICATION TO VACATE PRETRIAL</b>
16	)	<b>DEADLINES &amp; TRIAL DATE PENDING A</b>
17 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,	)	<b>RULING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION</b>
18 Defendants.	)	<b>FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
<hr/>		

20 This case came on for consideration on the ex parte application of defendants COUNTY OF  
21 SACRAMENTO; DETECTIVE DARREN MAYO, in his official capacity as an employee of  
22 Sacramento County; DEPUTIES S. PUFFER, T. HENRY, J. HALK, T. FOX, K. CLARK, L.  
23 KENDRICK, C. GRIFFITH, T. MOHLER, C. ALBRIGHT, T. MCCUE, D. ANDERSON, L.  
24 CRISTOSTOMO, M. HAYNES, SERGEANT PARKER and K-9, in their official capacities as  
employees of the County of Sacramento; and SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT for  
25 an order vacating the existing pretrial deadlines and trial date and to reset the same, if necessary,  
26 following the District Court's ruling on defendants' motion for summary judgment, and it appearing  
27 to this Court that good cause has been shown,

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

2 1. The October 11, 2006 deadline for the filing of pretrial statements, and the January 23,  
3 2007 jury trial date both are vacated.

4 2. If necessary following the ruling on defendants' motion for summary judgment, the court  
5 will issue a revised scheduling order establishing new pretrial deadlines and a new trial date.

6 Dated: September 26, 2006.

7   
8 United States Magistrate Judge

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28